Representation of Action-Specific Reward Values in the Striatum
Kazuyuki Samejima, et al.
Science 310, 1337 (2005);
DOI: 10.1126/science.1115270

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of March 11, 2012):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at:
http://www.sciencemag.org/content/310/5752/1337.full.html

Supporting Online Material can be found at:
http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2005/11/21/310.5752.1337.DC1.html

This article has been cited by 146 article(s) on the ISI Web of Science

This article has been cited by 73 articles hosted by HighWire Press; see:
http://www.sciencemag.org/content/310/5752/1337.full.html#related-urls

This article appears in the following subject collections:
Neuroscience
http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/neuroscience
Population increases moderately if at all, the extent of urbanization is relatively small, forest area increases, and demand for agricultural land decreases. This allows changes in land management that could decrease vulnerability. Problematic trends in the EU15+ are mostly climate related.

The range of potential impacts in Europe covers socioeconomic options (storylines) and variation among GCMs. For most ecosystem services the A1FI scenario produced the biggest negative impacts, and the B scenarios seemed preferable. However, a division into either “economic” (A scenarios) or “equitable and environmental” (B scenarios) does not reflect all societal options, given that sustainability does not forbid economic prosperity (3). The four storylines help explore but do not contain our optimal future pathway.

Among all European regions, the Mediterranean appeared most vulnerable to global change. Multiple potential impacts were projected, related primarily to increased temperatures and reduced precipitation. The impacts included water shortages, increased risk of forest fires, northward shifts in the distribution of typical tree species, and losses of agricultural potential. Mountain regions also seemed vulnerable because of a rise in the elevation of snow cover and altered river runoff regimes. The sustained active participation of stakeholders indicated that global change is an issue of concern to them, albeit among many other concerns. The development of adaptation strategies, such as for reduced water use and long-term soil preservation, can build on our study but requires further understanding of the interplay between stakeholders and their environment in the context of local, national, and EU-wide constraints and regulations.
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Representation of Action-Specific Reward Values in the Striatum
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The estimation of the reward an action will yield is critical in decision-making. To elucidate the role of the basal ganglia in this process, we recorded striatal neurons of monkeys who chose between left and right handle turns, based on the estimated reward probabilities of the actions. During a delay period before the choices, the activity of more than one-third of striatal projection neurons was selective to the values of one of the two actions. Fewer neurons were tuned to relative values or action choice. These results suggest representation of action values in the striatum, which can guide action selection in the basal ganglia circuit.
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Animals and humans flexibly choose actions in pursuit of their specific goals in the environment on a trial-and-error basis (1, 2). Theories of reinforcement learning (3) describe reward-based decision-making and adaptive choice of actions by the following three steps: (i) The organism estimates the action value, defined as how much reward value (probability times volume) an action will yield. (ii) It selects an action by comparing the action values of multiple alternatives. (iii) It updates the action values by the errors of estimated action values. Reinforcement learning models of the basal ganglia have been put forward (4–6). The midbrain dopamine neurons encode errors of reward expectation (7–9) and motivation (9), and they regulate the plasticity of the cortico-striatal synapses (10, 11). Neuronal discharge rates in the cerebral cortex (12–15) and striatum (16–18) are modulated by rewards that are estimated by sensory cues and behavioral responses. These observations are consistent with action selection through the reinforcement learning rule (3) and with the notion of stimulus–response learning (19, 20). However, two critical questions remain unanswered: Do the striatal neurons acquire action values in their activity through learning? How is the striatal neuron activity involved in reward-based action selection? Here we show by using a reward-based, free-choice paradigm that the striatal neurons learn to encode the action values through trial-and-error learning and
predict choice probability of action options under a reinforcement learning algorithm.

Two macaque monkeys performed a reward-based, free-choice task of turning a handle to the left or right (Fig. 1A). The monkeys held a handle in the center position for 1 s (delay period) with their left hand. Then, they turned the handle in either the left (a = L) or right (a = R) direction. A light-emitting diode (LED) on the turned side was illuminated stochastically in either green or red. The green and red LEDs instructed monkeys that either a large reward (0.2 ml of water) or a small reward (0.07 ml), respectively, would follow. The probabilities of a large reward after left and right turns were fixed during a block of 30 to 150 trials and varied between five types of trial blocks. In the “90-50” block, for example, the probability of a large reward for the left turn was 90%, and for the right turn, 50%. In this case, by taking the small reward as the baseline (r = 0) and the large reward as unity (r = 1), the left action value \( Q_L \) was 0.9 and the right action value \( Q_R \) was 0.5. We used four asymmetrically rewarded blocks, “90-50,” “50-90,” “50-10,” and “10-50,” and one symmetrically rewarded block, “50-50” (Fig. 1B). An important feature of this block design was that the neuronal activity related to the action value could be dissociated from that related to action choice. Although the monkeys should prefer the left turn in both 90-50 and 50-10 blocks, the left action value \( Q_L \) for the left turn changes from 0.9 to 0.5. Conversely, in the 90-50 and 10-50 blocks, although the monkey’s choice behavior should be the opposite, the action value \( Q_R \) remains at 0.5.

Figure 1C shows a representative time course of choices on individual trials and the left-turn choice probability, \( P_L \). Figure 1D shows the average curves of \( P_L \) during 2084 blocks of trials by monkey RO. The \( P_L \) started at around 0.5 (average of first 10 trials: 0.48 for monkey RO and 0.39 for monkey AR) and stayed around 0.5 in a symmetrically rewarded block in both monkeys. In asymmetrically rewarded blocks, the choice probability gradually shifted toward the action with higher reward values (binomial test, \( P < 0.01 \) for 50-50 versus four asymmetrically rewarded blocks). Although the time courses of the \( P_L \) shifts were variable among individual blocks, such as those in Fig. 1C, the average \( P_L \) at the same number of trials after the block start were not significantly different between 90-50 and 50-10 blocks, and between 50-90 and 10-50 blocks (Fig. 1D, \( P > 0.05 \)).
We recorded 504 striatal projection neurons in the right putamen and caudate nucleus of two monkeys. The present study focused on the 142 (61 in monkey RO, 81 in monkey AR) neurons that displayed increased discharges during at least one task event and those that had discharge rates higher than 1 spike/s during the delay period. We compared the average discharge rates during the delay period from two asymmetrically rewarded blocks. The comparison was based on the trials after the monkey’s choices had reached a “stationary phase,” when the choice probability was biased toward the action with higher reward probability in more than 70% of trials. In half of the neurons (72/142 in two monkeys), activity was modulated by either $Q_L$ or $Q_R$. Figure 2, A and B, shows a representative neuron in which the delay-period discharge rate was significantly higher in the 90-50 block (blue) than in the 10-50 block (orange) ($P = 0.003$, two-tailed Mann-Whitney $U$ test). Delay period discharges were not significantly different ($P = 0.70$) between the 50-10 and 50-90 blocks (Fig. 2B), for which preferred actions were the opposite. Thus, the neuron encodes the left action value, $Q_L$, but not the action itself. Another neuron in Fig. 2, C and D, showed a significantly higher discharge rate in the 50-10 block than in the 50-90 block ($P < 0.001$), but there was no significant difference between the 10-50 and 90-50 blocks ($P = 0.67$). This neuron may code a negative right action value, $-Q_R$. We also found neurons (Fig. 2, E and F) that discharged more in the 90-50 block than in the 10-50 block ($P = 0.028$), but less in the 50-90 block than in the 50-10 block ($P = 0.003$). This neuron may encode the difference of action values, $Q_L - Q_R$, and choice of left turn.

To study the representation of action values in the population of striatal neurons, we made a multiple regression analysis of neuronal discharge rates with $Q_L$ and $Q_R$ as regressors (21). Figure 3A shows a scatter plot of $t$-values of the regression coefficients. We found 24 (17%) “$Q_L$-type” neurons, which had significant regression coefficients to $Q_L$ ($t$-test, $P < 0.05$) but not to $Q_R$, and 31 (22%) “$Q_R$-type” neurons correlated to $Q_R$ but not to $Q_L$. There were 16 (11%) “differential action value ($\Delta Q$-type)” neurons correlated with the difference between $Q_L$ and $Q_R$. One neuron was classified as “value (V)-type” (<1%), which was positively correlated with reward values independent of actions. In 41 neurons, there were significant regression coefficients to the behavioral measures including chosen action, reaction time, and movement time (Fig. 3A, open symbols). There were 18 “motor related (m)-type” neurons that had significant $t$-values only for behavioral measures. The discharge rates of most action value neurons (19/24 in $Q_L$-type, 24/31 in $Q_R$-type) were not correlated significantly with the behavioral measures. We concluded that, during a delay period before action choices, more than one-third of striate projection neurons examined (43/142) encoded action values, and that 60% (43/72) of all the reward value-sensitive neurons were action-value neurons.

We next examined whether the neuronal activity encoding action values predict monkey’s action choices (21). The action values $Q_L(i)$ and $Q_R(i)$ at the $i$th trial of a single block of trials were estimated based on a standard reinforcement learning model and the past
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Drug-dependent neural plasticity related to drug addiction and schizophrenia can be modeled in animals as behavioral sensitization, which is induced by repeated noncontingent or self-administration of many drugs of abuse. Molecular mechanisms that are critical for behavioral sensitization have yet to be specified.

Long-term depression (LTD) of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-propionic acid receptor (AMPAR)–mediated synaptic transmission in the brain has been proposed as a cellular substrate for learning and memory. The expression of LTD in the nucleus accumbens (NAC) required clathrin-dependent endocytosis of postsynaptic AMPARs. NAC LTD was blocked by a dynamin-derived peptide that inhibited clathrin-mediated endocytosis or by a GluR2-derived peptide that blocked regulated AMPAR endocytosis.

Systemic or intra-NAC infusion of the membrane-permeable GluR2 peptide prevented the expression of amphetamine-induced behavioral sensitization in the rat.
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Behavioral sensitization of motor activity induced by repeated noncontingent or self-administration of drugs of abuse such as amphetamine, cocaine, heroin, and nicotine is an animal model of enduring drug-induced neuroplasticity (1–4). Behavioral sensitization involves neural adaptations in mesocorticolimbic regions, including the NAC, that receive dopaminergic (DA) projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and excitatory glutamatergic inputs from the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (5, 6). Initial work on behavioral sensitization focused on pre- and postsynaptic changes in DA systems, but recent evidence implicates synaptic plasticity in glutamatergic transmission in both the VTA and NAC (6–9). Whereas experience-dependent alterations in synaptic strength in the VTA are linked to the induction of behavioral sensitization, synaptic plasticity in the NAC appears to mediate its long-term maintenance and expression (7, 10, 11). Recent experiments indicate a role for LTD, a proposed cellular substrate for learning and memory, in behavioral sensitization. Sensitized mice show an enhanced depression
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